

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/664,468		09/17/2003	Debasis Bagchi	IHEAL-01038US1	4351
23910	7590	08/17/2006		EXAMINER	
FLIESLER		•	FLOOD, M	FLOOD, MICHELE C	
FOUR EMBARCADERO CENTER SUITE 400				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN FRANC	CISCO, C	CA 94111	1655		
				DATE MAILED: 08/17/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action	S	10/664,468	BAGCHI, DEBASIS				
Οπισε Αστιο	n Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Michele Flood	1655				
The MAILING DA Period for Reply	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to cor	mmunication(s) filed on 09 M	av 2006					
2a) ☐ This action is FIN	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 May 2006</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
′=	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
·— · · ·	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
<u> </u>	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-38 and 51-70</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
<u> </u>	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
_	☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. ☐ Claim(s) <u>51-70</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers	·						
· <u> </u>	s objected to by the Examine						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified co	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 8/8/2006.							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Pate3) Information Disclosure State	ite. <u>8/8/2006</u> . atent Application (PTO-152)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date		6) Other:					

Art Unit: 1655

DETAILED ACTION

In response to the telephonic interview held on August 8, 2006, the non-responsive Office communication is hereby vacated; and, this Office action shall take its stead. *See "Interview Summary"* (PTOL-413) attached hereto. Withdrawn Claims 1-38 and previously presented Claims 51-70 are pending. As Claims 51-70 are directed to more than one invention, an Election/Restriction requirement is deemed necessary, as set forth below.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 51-57, drawn to a composition comprising two or more berry extracts wherein the composition has a higher antioxidant capacity than any one berry extract used in the composition, classified in class 424, subclass 777.
- II. Claims 58-64, drawn to a composition comprising two or more berry extracts selected from a recited Markush group wherein the composition has a high oxygen radical absorbance capacity, classified in class 424, subclass 732.
- III. Claims 65-70, drawn to a composition comprising two or more berry extracts selected from a recited Markush group wherein the composition has a higher antioxidant capacity than any one berry extract used in the composition, classified in class 424, subclass 732.

Art Unit: 1655

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I-III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the three different inventions are directed to three different compositions not necessarily comprising the same berry extracts. Different compositions comprising different ingredients are expected to have different functional effects, as evidenced by the claims themselves.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to numerous patentably distinct species of pharmaceutical composition, said species containing numerous permutations of numerous ingredients. In the instant case, this application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: the different ingredients of Claims 58 and Claims 65-68. The species are independent or distinct because the claim-designated members comprising the recited Markush group of Claims 65 and 68 are characterized by divergently different botanical extracts.

If Applicant elects either Group II or Group III set forth above, Applicant is required to also elect under 35 U.S.C. 121 a single disclosed species of composition, enumerating and specifically stating all ingredients (that is what particular berry

Art Unit: 1655

extracts are contained therein) present therein, for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, Claims 59-64, 69 and 70 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not

Art Unit: 1655

distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michele Flood whose telephone number is 571-272-0964. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 am - 3:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terry McKelvey can be reached on 571-272-0775. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Flood.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Michele Flood Primary Examiner Art Unit 1655

MCF August 8, 2006